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A. Description of Research Project

A.1 Problem Statement

Accelerated bridge construction relies heavily on prefabricated reinforced concrete members. Connections of prefabricated members are particularly critical in moderate and high seismic zones because earthquake forces place high demand on nonlinear deformation capacity of adjoining members. Structural integrity of the bridge has to be maintained by capacity-protected connections that experience no or little damage.

Various connections have been explored in the past few years. These connections may be placed in two categories of coupler and pocket connections. Promising results have been obtained for different versions of both categories, although much research and development have to be done before reliable and proven design methods of the type used in practice can be recommended.

With a few exceptions, past research on seismic response of ABC connections has focused on conventional reinforcing steel and concrete materials. The PI has pointed out that ABC provides an opportunity to improve the seismic beyond the target performance objectives of current codes, and this view has been well received by leading bridge earthquake engineers. Standard cast-in-place (CIP) bridges are designed to undergo large inelastic deformations to dissipate the earthquake energy, but must not collapse. It is understood that these bridges would need to be decommissioned for major repair or replacement following the earthquake, at a time they are
needed the most for a functioning lifelines for emergency response vehicles. A new paradigm is being promoted and being embraced by leading bridge engineers to utilize advanced materials. Research has been conducted to demonstrate the feasibility and merit of advanced materials for CIP construction. Through a FHWA Innovative Bridge Research and Deployment (IBRD) projects, some of these advanced materials are being implemented in an actual bridge. Specifically, advanced materials and methods are intended to minimize damage to plastic hinges and permanent drift of the bridge. The objective of the proposed project is to develop and evaluate earthquake-resistant yet resilient bridge piers that incorporate prefabricated elements for use in ABC in moderate and high seismic zones.

**A.2 Contribution to Expanding Use of ABC in Practice**

Despite numerous advantages of ABC, states in moderate and high seismic zones have not been able embrace ABC because of insufficient research results and guidelines for seismic design of prefabricated members and connections. Upon successful development and evaluation of the proposed bents, issues will be identified and addressed and preliminary design guidelines will be developed along with illustrative design examples to facilitate the adoption of the proposed designs and expand the use of ABC in practice. The potential improvements that the use of advanced materials will provide over conventional reinforced concrete could also serve as further incentive to states that might be hesitant in adopting ABC.

**A.3 Research Approach and Methods**

The overall objective of the proposed study is to develop and evaluate resilient bridge piers consisting of prefabricated columns and cap beams subjected to simulated earthquake loading on shake tables. The study will focus on precast columns that are post-tensioned with unbonded
carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) tendons and are connected to the footings and the cap beam using pocket connections. Specific objectives of the project are to determine:

a) the seismic performance of pocket connections with unbonded post-tensioned columns,
b) the seismic performance of square precast PT columns,
c) the effectiveness of CFRP tendons in minimizing residual displacements under strong earthquakes,
d) the performance of two different ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) used in plastic hinges of solid and hollow columns, and
e) design considerations and methods for connections, CFRP PT columns, precast square columns, and plastic hinges with UHPC.

Pocket connections will be incorporated in the piers because this category of ABC connections has shown promising results while it does not violate the current AASHTO and Caltrans seismic codes because no mechanical couplers are utilized in pocket connections. Unbonded PT columns will be studied because it is known that unbonded PT reduces permanent drifts under seismic loads. CFRP tendons rather than steel will be utilized because based on extensive interaction of the PI with bridge designers, he is aware of reluctance of engineers in using unbonded tendons in concrete structures due to concerns for corrosion and the fact that CFRP is resistant to corrosion. Hollow columns will be included in the study, because they are lighter and can expedite construction. The study of different UHPC materials is intended to assess and compare the resilience of plastic hinges using some of the most promising materials.

Development of design methods is intended to provide designers of piers for use in ABC. It is envisioned that approximately one-third scale columns and pier models will be designed, constructed, and tested on a shake table.
A.4 Description of Tasks to Be Completed in Research Project

The study consists of the following tasks. The tasks are described and the status of each are presented in this section.

Task 1- Literature Review 100% Completed

Accelerated bridge construction (ABC) has recently become popular due to its numerous advantages such as minimizing traffic delays and road closures, as well as reducing the construction time and efforts. ABC relies heavily on prefabricated reinforced concrete members. Connections of prefabricated members are particularly critical in moderate and high seismic zones because earthquake forces place high demand on nonlinear deformation capacity of adjoining members. Structural integrity of the bridge has to be maintained by capacity-protected connections that experience no or little damage. Various connections have been explored in the past few years. These connections can be placed in two categories of coupler and pocket connections. Promising results have been obtained for different versions of both categories, although much research and development have to be done before reliable and proven design methods of the type used in practice can be recommended.

One of the methods to connect prefabricated bridge columns to footings is pocket connections. This research project concentrates on these types of connections as they have shown promising results while not violating the current AASHTO and Caltrans seismic codes. Innovation concepts of this research project are as follows:

- Precast column and footing with pocket connection
- Post-tensioning using unbonded Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) tendons
- Ultra-High Performance Concrete (UHPC) in plastic hinge zone
This study focuses on a precast square column that is post-tensioned with unbonded carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) tendons and is connected to the footing using pocket connection. Specific objectives of the project are to determine:

a) the seismic performance of pocket connection with unbonded post-tensioned column,

b) the appropriate embedment length of square precast columns in pocket connections

c) the effectiveness of CFRP tendons in minimizing residual displacements under strong earthquakes,

d) the optimized level of PT force based on column geometric and strength characteristics

e) the performance of ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) used in plastic hinge zone of the square column, and

f) design considerations and methods for connections, CFRP PT columns, precast columns, and plastic hinges with UHPC.

Task 2- Preliminary Design of a Single Column Model 100% completed

To accomplish the objectives of the study, a 1/3 scale of a square bridge column was designed according to AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design for a location in downtown Los Angeles, CA. Figures 1-3 show the geometry and cross section of the column as well as footing details. The properties of the bridge column is given in Table 1.
Figure 1. Geometry of the column model

Figure 2. Cross section of the column
Figure 3. Footing details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale factor</td>
<td>1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column dimensions (inch)</td>
<td>20” x 20”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column height (inch)</td>
<td>80”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column longitudinal bar</td>
<td>24 - #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column long. steel ratio</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column transverse steel</td>
<td>#3 @ 2.75”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column transverse steel ratio</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead load (kip)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial posttensioning force per tendon (kip)</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective cross sectional area per tendon (in^2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial load index (DL+PT)</td>
<td>14.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedment length of the column (inch)</td>
<td>20.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket dimensions (inch)</td>
<td>23” x 23”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket depth (inch)</td>
<td>21.5”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap in pocket connection (inch)</td>
<td>1.5”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHPC height (inch)</td>
<td>40.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated base shear (kip)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A 1/3 scale of two column bent was designed according to AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design. The geometry of the bent is shown in Fig. 4. The columns had moment connection at the top and pin connection at the bottom. Using ABC pocket connection, the precast columns were inserted into the precast footing and extended in the precast cap beam. The embedment length of the columns for the pocket connections was 1.0 times the column dimension at the top and 1.35 times the column dimension at the bottom. UHPC and ECC were used in the plastic hinges to minimize seismic damage. The height of UHPC and ECC was 1.5 times the column dimension. Figures 5-8 show cap beam and footing details. The properties of the two-column bent are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Properties of the two column bent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale factor</td>
<td>1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent cap dimensions (inch)</td>
<td>19” x 26” x 134”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footing dimensions (inch)</td>
<td>23” x 36” x 132”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column dimensions (inch)</td>
<td>14” x 14”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column clear height (inch)</td>
<td>61.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column long. bar</td>
<td>8 - #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column long. steel ratio</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column transverse steel</td>
<td>#3 @ 2.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column transverse steel ratio</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long. bar at hinge section</td>
<td>6 #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long. steel ratio at hinge section</td>
<td>2.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse steel at hinge section</td>
<td>#3 @ 1.5”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial load index</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedment length of the column at the top</td>
<td>14.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedment length of the column at the bottom</td>
<td>19.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap in pocket connection (inch)</td>
<td>1.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead load (kip)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{M_{p,Hinge}}{M_{p,Col}}$</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base shear (kip)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear demand (left col., right col.) (kip)</td>
<td>29, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column shear capacity (left col., right col.) (kip)</td>
<td>74, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinge shear capacity (left col., right col.) (kip)</td>
<td>47, 79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Geometry of the two column bent model

Figure 5. Cap beam details
Figure 6. Cap beam cross section

Figure 7. Footing details

Figure 8. Footing section A-A
Task 3- Conduct Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis of Test Models

Model for Single Column Bent 100% Completed

Nonlinear pushover analysis was applied to the column model to determine nonlinear behavior of the bridge column. Figure 9 shows the pushover curve of the column model. According to the pushover curve, the column reached 8% drift. Figure 10 shows the axial load variation of the column due to elongation of CFRP tendons during pushover analysis. According to Figure 10, axial load in CFRP tendons has been increased approximately by a factor of 3.5 times the initial posttensioning force. Figure 11 shows stress-strain relationships of CFRP tendons during pushover analysis. The guaranteed capacity of CFRP tendons is 306 ksi and tendons reached to 90% of the guaranteed capacity.

![Figure 9. Pushover curve of the column model](image-url)
Figure 10. Axial load variation of the column and CFRP tendons

Figure 11. Stress-strain relationship of CFRP tendons
Nonlinear response history analysis was applied to the column model for different near fault ground motions to investigate the effectiveness of the self-centering system in minimizing the residual drifts. The analysis was performed for the novel column as well as two other identical conventional columns without posttensioning elements. As representative response, the results of two ground motions, 1994-Northridge at Rinaldi station and 1978-Tabas, are represented. Figure 12 and Figure 13 show residual drifts vs. peak drifts for different levels of Rinaldi and Tabas earthquakes, respectively. According to the results, the residual drifts of the novel column for different levels of the earthquakes are almost zero.

![Graph showing residual drifts vs. peak drifts for different levels of Rinaldi earthquake](image)

**Rinaldi (30%, 60%, 100%, 120%)**

- Novel Column, ALI=14.25%
- No Posttensioning, ALI = 14.25%
- No Posttensioning, ALI = 6.25%

Figure 12. Residual drifts vs. peak drifts for different levels of Rinaldi earthquake
Nonlinear pushover analysis was applied to the two column bent model to determine nonlinear behavior of the bent. Figure 14 shows the pushover curve of the model. According to the pushover curve, the columns reached approximately 10% drift. Figure 15 shows the axial load variation of the columns due to framing action.
Figure 14. Pushover curve of the two column bent model

Figure 15. Axial load variation of the two column bent model
Task 4- Construct the Test Models, Conduct Shake Table

Single Column Bent
Construction, Tests, and Process Test Data 100% Completed

A 1/3 scale of a precast novel column model was constructed and posttensioned using CFRP tendons. The plastic hinge zone is made with UHPC. The novel column was inserted into the precast footing, which had a pocket area in the middle for the column. Afterwards, UHPC material was used to fill the gap between the column and the footing. Figure 16 shows a schematic representation of the test model. Figures 17-19 show CFRP tendons and anchorages, precast footing, and the final column model, respectively.

Figure 16. Schematic representation of the test model
Figure 17. CFRP tendons and anchorages

Figure 18. Precast footing with pocket area in the middle
The column was designed according to AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design assuming the bridge was located in Los Angeles area at Lake Wood, with the latitude and longitude of 3.84926 N, and 118.09252 W, respectively. Seismic properties of this location were as follows: As=0.473g, SDS=1.155g, SD1=0.637g, To=0.11 sec, Tₛ=0.552 sec, Site class: D. The 1994 Northridge earthquake acceleration history recorded at the Rinaldi station was simulated in the shake table test because of its tendency to cause large permanent displacements in conventional reinforced concrete columns. The time scaled acceleration and velocity histories for this near-fault motion are shown in Fig. 20 and Fig. 21. Figure 22 shows...
the scaled design spectrum and response spectrum of the selected ground motion. A total of six runs, 25%, 50%, 100%, 133%, 167%, and 200% design level, were applied in the shake table test to capture the seismic response of the novel column under different levels of earthquake. Figure 23 shows the test set up.

Figure 20. Scaled acceleration history for Rinaldi

Figure 21. Scaled velocity history for Rinaldi
Figure 22. Scaled response acceleration

Figure 23. Shake table test set up
The column has been tested on a shake table (Fig. 24). Figure 25 shows the hysteresis loops of the column during the six runs. According to the experimental results, the novel column reached approximately 7% drift, and the residual displacement was nearly zero at the end of each run. Figure 26 shows the maximum drift vs. period of the column for each run. The period of the column varied from 0.209 sec to 0.452 sec during the test. Figure 27 shows the maximum drift vs. damping ratio for each run. The damping ratio of the column varied from 0.94% to 4.54% during the test. Table 3 summarizes the maximum displacement and base shear achieved for each run.
Figure 25. Hysteresis loops of the novel column

Figure 26. Maximum column drift vs. period for each run
Figure 27. Maximum column drift vs. damping ratio for each run

Table 3. Maximum displacement and base shear for each run

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Displacement [mm]</th>
<th>Base Shear [kN]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[4.1]</td>
<td>[-3.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #2</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #3</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[30.2]</td>
<td>[-15.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #4</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[65.8]</td>
<td>[-50.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #5</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[102.4]</td>
<td>[-68.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #6</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[140.2]</td>
<td>[-72.1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 28 shows the damage state of the column at the plastic hinge and the pocket connection after the 200% design level earthquake was applied. According to the test observations, the pocket connection performed well without significant damage at the pocket area and the footing.
Lesson learned
- The embedment length of 1.0 times the column dimension was sufficient to provide full fixity at the base in the pocket connection and development of the full column flexural capacity.
- UHPC in the plastic hinge eliminated the seismic damage and concrete spalling. Due to the high compressive strength of UHPC, the column failure mode was rebar rupture rather than core concrete failure.
- CFRP tendons effectively eliminated residual drifts during different levels of earthquakes and can be used as a replacement for steel tendons in bridge columns.

Two-Column Bent
Construction, Tests, and Process Test Data Completed
Construction of the two column bent model was completed in March 2016 and the model was tested on a shake table at UNR on April 27, 2016. Figures 29 to 34 show various stages of construction of the two-column bent.
Figure 29. Cap beam construction and pocket for columns
Figure 30. Footing and pockets for columns

Figure 31. Column reinforcement cage

Figure 32. Placing UHPC/ECC in plastic hinge zone simultaneously with concrete
Figure 33. Inserting the second precast column into the footing

Figure 34. Inserting cap beam on top of the columns
Pretest pushover and nonlinear dynamic analysis of the bent was conducted and the shake table testing protocol was developed accordingly. The 1994 Northridge Sylmar Station record was simulated in the shake table tests. The response spectrum for this record superimposed on the design spectrum is shown in Figure 35. The target input acceleration records simulating the effect of earthquakes with different intensities are shown in Figure 36.

Figure 35. Sylmar 1994 and design spectra

Figure 36. Target input accelerations for shake table testing simulation
Run 4 in Fig. 36 corresponds to the design level earthquake with a PGA of 0.76g. The model failed during Run 6 due to fracture of longitudinal steel bars in the top plastic hinges. The target input acceleration in Run 6 corresponded to 150% of the design earthquake with a PGA of 1.14g.

Figure 37 and 38 show the damage state of the top plastic hinges with ECC and UHPC, respectively, under the design earthquake. It can be seen that there was only minor cracking of ECC and no joint damage. Figure 38 shows no damage in the UHPC plastic hinge, but some minor spalling of the concrete at the bottom of the cap beam.

Figure 37. Damage state of ECC plastic hinge and pocket connection under design motion
There was no damage in the two-way hinges at column bases in either column (Fig. 39).

Figure 38. Damage state of UHPC plastic hinge and pocket connection under design motion

Figure 39. Damage state of two-way hinge under design motion
Figure 40 to 42 show the damage states at the ECC plastic hinge, UHP plastic hinge, and one of the two-way hinges under 150% design earthquake, which led to failure. It is evident in Fig. 40 that ECC spalled and the column longitudinal bars were exposed. Some minor cracking was also observed at the bottom of the cap beam. Figure 41 shows that UHPC suffered no significant damage despite the severity of the earthquake. However, there was significant damage in the grout and the bottom of the beam in the pocket connection region. The high strength and stiffness of UHPC shifted the damage to the lower part of the cap beam. The two-say hinge remained free from any apparent damage although some cracking was observed in the hinge throat (Fig. 42).

Figure 40. Damage state of ECC plastic hinge and pocket connection under 150% design motion
Figure 41. Damage state of UHPC plastic hinge and pocket connection under 150% design motion
Figure 42. Damage state of two-way hinge under 150% design motion
Task 5 – Analytical studies of the column and pier models 100% Completed

Single-Column Test Model- Post-test analytical studies of the column model have been in progress. A sample of OpenSees analysis results showing the calculated and measured displacement histories for different runs is shown in Fig. 43. The achieved shake table motions and the measured material properties were used in the analysis. It can be seen that the correlation between the calculated and measured results was excellent for all the Runs including Run 6 during which the column failed.

![Image of displacement histories](image)

Figure 43- Measured and calculated displacement histories for the PT column model
The measured and calculated lateral force displacement hysteresis curves for Run 3 to 6 are shown in Fig. 44. Run 3 corresponds to a relatively small level of material nonlinearity. The analytical model was not able to capture the hysteresis behavior closely. Run 4 and 5 correspond to 100% and 125% of design earthquakes, respectively. The correlation between the measured and calculated curves was excellent. The column model failed during Run 6. It can be seen that the analytical model led to good correlation until the fracture of the longitudinal bars (indicated by the drop in the lateral force in the right side of the outermost loop in Fig. 44). The analytical model did not capture the bar fracture, and the calculated maximum displacement in the negative direction exceeded the measured displacement in the reversed cycle subsequent to the bar fracture.

Fig. 44 – Measured and calculated hysteresis curves for the single column model

**Two-Column Pier Model** - The analysis was carried out using OpenSEES. The analytical model of the pier is shown in Fig. 45.
Fig. 45 – OpenSees model of the pier model

The calculated and measured response history analysis results using 2% damping for a low-, moderate-, and high-amplitude run are shown in Fig. 46. Run 6 was the failure run, in which some of the bars fractured and concrete core was damaged to various degrees, effects of which were not included in the analysis. It can be seen in Fig. 46 that the correlation between the measured and calculated results is reasonable for all three earthquake runs.

The measured and calculated base shear histories for the aforementioned three runs are shown in Fig. 47. It is clear that the analytical model successfully captured the peak forces and waveforms in most cases. Some discrepancies were noted in the low-amplitude parts of the responses but they are believed to be insignificant.
Fig. 46 – Measured and calculated displacement histories of the pier for (a) Run 2, (b) Run 4, and (c) Run 5 using 2% damping.
Task 6 – Develop design method and numerical examples

Pending

Task 7 – Summarize the investigation and the results in a draft final report

Pending

A.5 Expected Results and Specific Deliverables

The results from this study are expected to determine the feasibility and seismic performance of bridge piers incorporating precast, post-tensioned columns with unbonded CFRP tendons and damage-free plastic hinges. The experimental and parametric analytical results will reveal the effects of important parameters and their optimized combination. Specifically, the results are expected to provide information on the following aspects of seismic behavior and design of these types of piers:

a) The appropriate embedment length of precast square columns in pocket connections in cap beams and footings based on column geometric and strength properties.

b) The optimized length of the UHPC segments of columns.

c) The shear performance of UHPC segments.

Fig. 47 – Measured and calculated base shear histories of the pier for (a) Run 2, (b) Run 4, and (c) Run 5 using 2% damping.
d) The effectiveness of CFRP tendons and their anchorage.

e) The effectiveness and relative merit of ECC and Ductal in reducing column earthquake damage.

f) Optimized level of PT force based on column geometric and strength characteristics.

The deliverables from this study will consist of:

a) Details of design, construction process, and testing of the pier models.

b) Experimental data on all transducers for different levels of earthquakes.

c) Pretest and post-test analytical procedures and results.

d) Interpretation of the effect of different parameters that will be investigated through the experimental and analytical studies.

e) Practical design procedures and illustrative design examples.

The final project report including details of the study and an executive summary.